SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

cv-03594-RBS Document 1 Filed 06/24/13 Page of CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVI	ERSE OF THE FORM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	13	3007
MARY ANN NAVARRO	) /		MONARCH RE	ENT, INC	
	e of First Listed Plaintiff L EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	Las Vegas, NV	NOTE: IN LAN	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ID CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	e, Address, and Telephone Numb	er)	Attorneys (If Known)		
ason Rettig, 100 W Mo					
latboro, PA 19040, Pho II. BASIS OF JURISI		in One Box Only)	H CITIZENSHIP OF I	DDINCIDAL DADTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
II. DASIS OF JUNISL	Place an X	in One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		TF DEF  1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2	•
	(	,	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Society Services 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	<b>6</b> 66
IV. NATURE OF SUI					
CONTRACT	1	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY  7 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES
<ul> <li>□ 110 Insurance</li> <li>□ 120 Marine</li> <li>□ 130 Miller Act</li> <li>□ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>□ 151 Medicare Act</li> <li>□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)</li> <li>□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>□ 160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>□ 190 Other Contract</li> <li>□ 195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>□ 196 Franchise</li> <li>■ REAL PROPERTY</li> <li>□ 210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>□ 220 Foreclosure</li> <li>□ 230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>□ 240 Torts to Land</li> <li>□ 245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>□ 290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>		550 Civil Rights	620 Other Food & Drug     625 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881     630 Liquor Laws     640 R.R. & Truck     650 Airline Regs.     660 Occupational     Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710 Fair Labor Standards     Act     720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting     & Disclosure Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc.     Security Act     IMMIGRATION	422 Appeal 28 USC 158     423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157     PROPERTY RIGHTS     820 Copyrights     830 Patent     840 Trademark     861 HIA (1395ff)     862 Black Lung (923)     863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))     864 SSID Title XVI     365 RSI (405(g))     FEDERAL TAX SUTTS     870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)     871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 430 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
SE1 opriginal □ 2 R	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reopened anoth	sferred from	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of ca		e filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	JUN 2 4 2013
DATE 06/03/2013		SIGNATURE OF AT	FORNEY OF RECORD		deleteration of the second
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
DECEIPT# A	MOUNT	ADDI VING IED	шре	MAC IIII	DOE.

#### Case 2:13-cv-03594-RBS Document 1 Filed 06/24/13 Page 2 of 9

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of FOR THE EASTE assignment to appro nn Navarro, 9999 W. Katie Ave., #1119, Las Vegas NV, 89147 Address of Plaintiff: Address of Defendant: Monarach Recovery Management, 10965 Decatur Rd., Philadelphia, PA 19154 Philadelphia, PA Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 16% or more of its stock? <sup>10</sup>⊠ (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) No⊠ Yes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: \_\_\_ Judge Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated Yes No 🗵 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously No 🖾 Yes□ terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  $_{\rm Yes}\square$ CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. 

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1. 

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. 

Airplane Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation 3. 

Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury 4. 

Antitrust 5. 

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. Patent 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 7. □ Products Liability 7. 

Civil Rights 8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos 8. □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 10 Social Security Review Cases 15 USC, et seq (Please specify) Abusive and Unlawful Debt Collection ★ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursyant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$130,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: 6/3/13 JUN 2 4 2013 NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. 200948

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (6/08)

DATE: 6-3-2013



MARY ANN NAVARRO

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

**CIVIL ACTION** 

v.		:		13	359	
MONARCH RECOVERY MAN	NAGEMENT	: :	NO.			
In accordance with the Civil J plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve a side of this form.) In the eve designation, that defendant sha the plaintiff and all other parti- to which that defendant believ	Management a copy on all dent that a defall, with its fires, a Case Ma	Track Design efendants. (Se endant does n rst appearance inagement Tra	nation Form in all civing a line of the plan se of agree with the plan and the clerk of the cler	I cases at th t forth on th intiff regard of court and	e time of e reverse ding said serve on	
SELECT ONE OF THE FOR	LLOWING C	CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS	:		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ( )						
(c) Arbitration - Cases require	ed to be desig	nated for arbit	ration under Local Ci	vil Rule 53	.2. ( )	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvin exposure to asbestos.	g claims for p	ersonal injury	or property damage	from	( )	
(e) Special Management – Ca commonly referred to as c the court. (See reverse sid management cases.)	omplex and th	nat need specia	al or intense managen	nent by	( )	
(f) Standard Management – C	Cases that do r	not fall into an	y one of the other trac	ks.	(x)	
June 3, 2013	0		Plaintif	f		
Date	Attorney.	-at-law	Attorney	for		
267-879-9054	866-83	29-5083	rettigl	aw@yahoo.c	om	
Telephone	FAX Nu	mber	E-Mail A	ddress		
(Civ. 660) 10/02						

1400

### NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARY ANN NAVARRO,	)		
Plaintiff,	)		
v.	) No.	13	3594
MONARCH RECOVERY MANAGEMENT	)	10	0001
INC.	)		
Defendant.	)		

#### **PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, MARY ANN NAVARRO ("Plaintiff"), through her attorneys, MARGOLIS EDELSTEIN, alleges the following against Defendant, MONARCH RECOVERY MANAGEMENT, INC. ("Defendant"):

#### INTRODUCTION

1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy."
- 3. Defendant conducts business in the State of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 6. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), and according to Defendant, Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 7. Defendant is an alleged debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 8. Defendant is a collection agency with a business office in Philadelphia, Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Defendant is attempting to collect a debt from Plaintiff on behalf of the original creditor, Citibank, N.A., with an account number ending in 6833 (Defendant's File Number 23955426).
- 11. Plaintiff's alleged debt owed to Citibank arises from transactions for personal, family, and household purposes.
- 12. In or around May of 2012, Defendant began placing calls to Plaintiff's cell phone (702-576-88xx) in an attempt to collect an alleged debt.
- 13. Defendant left a message for Plaintiff requesting she return the call to 215-613-1226, a number belonging to Defendant.
- 14. Defendant left a voicemail message for Plaintiff which failed to meaningfully disclose the caller's identity. See transcribed voicemail messages attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 15. Defendant left a voicemail message for Plaintiff which failed to disclose in subsequent

  PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

  2

communications that the communication was from a debt collector. See Exhibit A.

16. Defendant is using false, deceptive and misleading means in connection with attempting to collect a debt by not identifying the purpose of its phone calls or that they are an attempt to collect a debt.

## COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 17. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
  - a. Defendant violated § 1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct that the natural consequences of which was to harass, oppress, and abuse Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt.
  - b. Defendant violated § 1692d(6) of the FDCPA by placing telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity.
  - c. Defendant violated § 1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, and misleading representations in connection with the collection of any debt.
  - d. Defendant violated § 1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using deceptive means in an attempt to collect a debt.
  - e. Defendant violated § 1692e(11) of the FDCPA by failing to disclose in subsequent communications that the communication was from a debt collector.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MARY ANN NAVARRO, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, MONARCH RECOVERY MANAGEMENT, INC. for the following:

18. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

- Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15
   U.S.C. § 1692k.
- 20. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

Dated: June 3, 2013 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: \_

Jason M Rettig, Esquire 100 W Monument Ave. Hatboro, PA 19040

Phone: (267) 879-9054

E-mail: rettiglaw@yahoo.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

# **EXHIBIT A**

### Case 2:13-cv-03594-RBS Document 1 Filed 06/24/13 Page 9 of 9

### Mary Ann Navarro v. Monarch Recovery Management

Yes Mary, Ms. Navarro, Bob Travis calling, it is essential I speak with you. You can reach me at 215-613-1226.